



PUBLIC RI REPORT

2021 PILOT

Lennox Capital Partners

Generated 2022-11-21

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	17
Listed Equity (LE)	43

Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

Senior leadership statement

Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- **Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?**
- **What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?**
- **What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?**

Lennox is committed to making responsible investment decisions on behalf of its clients and recognises that the ESG performance of companies in which it invests are relevant to the value, performance (risk and/or return) and reputation of the investments that are made as part of its portfolio.

Lennox integrates ESG issues into its investment process as part of the qualitative assessment of the company. All companies that are considered for in-depth fundamental research must pass a qualitative screening process that specifically measures and assesses a company's governance structure and the environmental and social consequences of its business. Lennox acknowledges that not all ESG factors will be relevant to all investments. As such, Lennox incorporates material ESG factors into the fundamental analysis of each company it includes, or is considering for inclusion in, its portfolio. Lennox determines materiality by considering which ESG risks and opportunities the industry is most exposed to as well as any ESG risks and opportunities specific to the company itself. A material ESG risk is one which can have a significant impact on the valuation of a company, if not well managed. Lennox will engage with the management teams and boards of companies to seek further clarification and provide feedback on ESG issues and help them understand how we think about ESG for our investment process. At the investment committee meetings where investment ideas are proposed, the quality screening process for a prospective investment is discussed. For the investment to go ahead, the two portfolio managers need to unanimously agree the investment passes ESG factors. Lennox recognises that assessing ESG issues as part of its investment process is an ongoing, long-term commitment and it is expected that our policy will evolve over time to reflect any change to approach and business practices.

There are no differences between Lennox's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and other practices. Responsible investment and assessing ESG issues are integral parts of our investment process and are undertaken for every single investment and potential investment.

Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

During calendar year 2020, Lennox enhanced its assessment questions asked as part of the qualitative screening process that includes ESG issues. Specifically, we added assessment criteria for those companies in higher risk industries and tenure of the board of directors.

Lennox also established a stewardship policy during calendar year 2020. Lennox recognises that investment managers play a key role in fulfilling stewardship obligations to ensure responsible management and robust corporate governance practices through engagement activities. Shareholder stewardship is an assessment of whether a company's senior management and board have, or are likely to act, in the best interests of shareholders. This includes an analysis of historical decision making, management and board effectiveness, remuneration structures, corporate governance, culture and financial controls.

If a company fails any of the assessment questions as part of the initial screening process, Lennox will engage with the management team or board of directors of that company (either face to face, via phone call or via email). All members of the Lennox team undertake engagement activities. When a portfolio manager or analyst has an investment idea, engaging on ESG is the first step. Lennox will engage with the management teams and boards of companies to seek further clarification and provide feedback on ESG issues and help them understand how we think about ESG for our investment process. Lennox recommends that the company adopt best practices and asks if they are in the process of or have a view to make the requisite changes. If the Lennox team is comfortable that the company will make these changes in the near term, we will invest in the company or retain our holding in the company and continue to engage with management and the board on these issues. If the company has no intention of making these changes, we will sell our holdings or not invest in the company. The outcome of engagement activities is monitored and reviewed at investment committee meetings. The Lennox team records company engagement as part of our note taking process.

In addition to company engagement, Lennox electronically votes at all the general meetings of the companies it holds in its portfolios. As an investment manager, Lennox takes its ownership responsibilities seriously as it believes the right to vote as proxy is an important asset. From time to time, we will use voting at the general meetings to drive change in the company. The decision to do this is reached independently of other stakeholders, however, we may join with other shareholders to try and bring about changes to the company. If the vote at the general meeting does not result in the requisite change and the company fails to make any changes then we will sell our holdings. The Lennox team records how it votes at every general meeting and company dialogue pre and post general meeting regarding voting. We currently maintain a written Proxy Voting policy which is reviewed on an ongoing basis, and more formally, by Lennox's Board every two years. The policy details Lennox's approach to proxy voting in relation to such issues as Board Independence, Committee Memberships and Director Remuneration.

Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

We record all company interactions as part of our note taking process and make those notes available to all team members, this includes ESG engagement with companies and we aim to increase the engagement year on year.

Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	James Dougherty
Position	Principal
Organisation's name	Lennox Capital Partners

● This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by Lennox Capital Partners in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of Lennox Capital Partners's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

Organisational Overview (OO)

Organisational information

Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

☐ (O) Fund management

☐ (1) This is our only (or primary) type

Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

☐ (A) Yes

☒ (B) No

Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

Assets under management

All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 835,195,087.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

Percentage of AUM	
(A) Listed equity – internal	100.0%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	0.0%
(D) Fixed income – external	0.0%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0.0%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0.0%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%

(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
-------------------------	------

(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
---------------------------------------	------

(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
---------------------------------------	------

(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
----------------------------------	------

(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%
----------------------------------	------

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Passive equity	0.0%
--------------------	------

(2) Active – quantitative	0.0%
---------------------------	------

(3) Active – fundamental	100.0%
--------------------------	--------

(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%
--	------

(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%
----------------------------	------

ESG strategies

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	100.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	100.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%

Stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active
(A) Through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG incorporation

Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Voluntary reporting

Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

	(1) Yes, report on the module
ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(A) Listed equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

ESG/sustainability funds and products

Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

Percentage

(B) Listed equity – active 0.0%

Climate investments

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0.0%

Other asset breakdowns

Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

(1) Listed equity	
(A) Developed	100.0%
(B) Emerging	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%

Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

Responsible investment policy & governance

Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- ☒ (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- ☐ (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☒ (E) Approach to stewardship
- ☒ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- ☒ (G) Approach to exclusions
- ☒ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- ☒ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- ☒ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- ☒ (K) Responsible investment governance structure

- ☒ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment
- ☒ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- ☒ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☐ (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-Engagement-Policy-FINAL.pdf>
- ☒ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s):
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>
- ☒ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf> and
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-Engagement-Policy-FINAL.pdf>

☒ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-Engagement-Policy-FINAL.pdf>

☒ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-Engagement-Policy-FINAL.pdf>

☐ (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- ☐ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☐ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☐ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☐ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

100.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

- ☐ (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)
- ☒ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)
- ☐ (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

AUM Coverage:

(A) Listed Equity	100.0%
-------------------	--------

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☒ (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☒ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☒ (C) Investment committee
- ☒ (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
 Portfolio Managers and Founders
- ☐ (E) Head of department, please specify department:
- ☐ (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- ☒ (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☒ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☒ (C) Investment committee
- ☒ (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- ☐ (E) Head of department [as specified]
- ☒ (F) Portfolio managers
- ☒ (G) Investment analysts
- ☐ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- ☐ (I) Investor relations
- ☐ (J) External managers or service providers
- ☐ (K) Other role, please specify:
- ☐ (L) Other role, please specify:
- ☐ (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(2) Chief- level staff	(3) Investment committee	(4) Other chief- level staff [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

(1) Board and/or trustees						
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(D) Objective on ESG performance					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

(2) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>

(3) Investment committee

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(4) Other chief-level staff

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(6) Portfolio managers

(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(7) Investment analysts

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- ☐ (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- ☒ (B) Bi-annually
- ☐ (C) Annually
- ☐ (D) Less frequently than annually
- ☐ (E) On an ad hoc basis
- ☐ (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- ☒ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- ☒ (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- ☐ (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10.1	CORE	ISP 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

For what proportion of assets do you incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation process?

(A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes (1) for all of our assets

(B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes (1) for all of our assets

Stewardship

Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity 100.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- ☒ (A) Key stewardship objectives
- ☒ (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- ☒ (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- ☒ (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☒ (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☒ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- ☐ (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☒ (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- ☒ (I) Escalation strategies
- ☒ (J) Conflicts of interest
- ☒ (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled
- ☒ (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- ☒ (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions
 - ☐ (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
 - ☐ (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
 - ☐ (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	<input type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	<input type="radio"/>

Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

(1) Listed equity

(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) The ESG rating of the entity	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:	<input type="checkbox"/>
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets	<input type="checkbox"/>

Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- ☐ (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- ☐ (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- ☐ (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation
- ☒ (D) **We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis**
- ☐ (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	<input type="checkbox"/>

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	<input type="checkbox"/>

Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- ☐ (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- ☐ (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- ☒ (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Climate change

Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

● (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

■ <https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>

○ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

● (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

■ <https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>

○ (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

☒ **(A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:**

As part of the quality assessment for every investment opportunity we ask companies if the business has high carbon intensity and consequent exposure to carbon pricing as well as if the business is impacted by climate change. One of the reasons we don't invest in resources companies is because of the climate-related risks.

☒ **(B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:**

Every person at Lennox from Portfolio Manager to Investment Analyst needs to ask the climate related questions as part of the quality screening process.

☒ **(C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:**

We discuss climate change with our clients and we have amended our quality screening process to incorporate their preferences regarding climate change. For instance, we do not invest in resources companies but we also do not invest in those companies that have 30% or more exposure to coal e.g. mining services companies, transport companies, infrastructure companies in coal basins etc.

☒ **(D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:**

Lennox subscribes to the scientific consensus that carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, predominantly as a result of human activity, has led to global warming with consequent changes in our climate. There has been a global move to reduce carbon emissions worldwide. The Paris Agreement, ratified by 195 countries, outlines country-specific targets for reducing carbon emissions. Lennox believes that uncertainty surrounding both the physical changes in our climate as well as the policy response around the transition to a low carbon economy can pose a risk to our investments. Equally, there will be companies that benefit from such a transition. As such, Lennox considers the physical and transition risks and opportunities of climate change as part of our fundamental analysis. We encourage the companies we invest in, or are considering for investment, to assess and disclose their exposure to climate-related financial risks, adopting where possible the framework set by the Taskforce for Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFDs).

☐ **(E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:**

☒ **(F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:**

Lennox subscribes to the scientific consensus that carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, predominantly as a result of human activity, has led to global warming with consequent changes in our climate. There has been a global move to reduce carbon emissions worldwide. The Paris Agreement, ratified by 195 countries, outlines country-specific targets for reducing carbon emissions. Lennox believes that uncertainty surrounding both the physical changes in our climate as well as the policy response around the transition to a low carbon economy can pose a risk to our investments. Equally, there will be companies that benefit from such a transition. As such, Lennox considers the physical and transition risks and opportunities of climate change as part of our fundamental analysis.

☐ **(G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:**

☐ **(H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

☒ (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

The two managers, Liam Donohue and James Dougherty identify climate-related risks/opportunities for clients, in investments and for the business and report this to the board (they are also board members).

☒ (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:

James Dougherty and Liam Donohue have agreed upon risk management measures for climate related risks and as such, we do not invest in resources and we have limited our exposure to coal.

☒ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

All members of the Lennox team including management constantly monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities in current and prospective investments.

☒ (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

Management subscribes to research and training that allows everyone at Lennox to be able to monitor and assess climate related risks in all investments and prospective investments.

☐ (E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:

☐ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

☒ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

Move away from coal and the impact that will have on not only coal mines but also those industrial firms that rely on coal mines for an income stream.

☐ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

☒ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

One of the reasons we do not invest in resources companies is the risk of increased regulation due to their direct exposure to physical climate change.

☒ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

☐ We do not invest in industrial firms that derive 30% or more of revenue from coal due to their indirect exposure to physical climate change.

☒ **(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:**

We have identified specific sectors that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios e.g. renewables and assess any prospective investments in that sector.

☐ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

☐ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:

☐ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30 years	(7) >30 years	
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

☐ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

☐ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

☒ (C) **Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:**

By its very nature, it is difficult to determine the timeframe of climate change risks and therefore, we are forced to look at a longer time horizon. Additionally, when looking at companies that need to adapt in order to mitigate climate risks over the long term, we need to look at things like the cost of adaptation within our own time horizon.

☐ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

☐ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

☐ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

☐ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

☐ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

- ☐ (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario
- ☒ (B) **An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response**
- ☐ (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- ☐ (D) Other climate scenario, specify:
- ☐ (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

Sustainability outcomes

Set policies on sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 40	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Where is your approach to sustainability outcomes set out? Your policy/guideline may be a standalone document or part of a wider responsible investment policy.

- ☒ (A) **Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our responsible investment policy**
- ☐ (B) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our exclusion policy
- ☒ (C) **Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our stewardship policy**
- ☐ (D) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in asset class-specific investment guidelines
- ☐ (E) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in separate guidelines on specific outcomes (e.g. the SDGs, climate or human rights)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 41	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which global or regionally recognised frameworks do your policies and guidelines on sustainability outcomes refer to?

- ☐ (A) The SDG goals and targets
☒ (B) The Paris Agreement
☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
☐ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
☒ (E) Other frameworks, please specify:
☐ Taskforce for Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFDs)
☐ (F) Other frameworks, please specify:

Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- ☐ (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
☒ (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- ☐ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☒ (B) **The Paris Agreement**
☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
☐ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
☐ (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
☐ (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
☐ (H) Other framework/tool, please specify:
☐ (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

- ☒ (A) **At the asset level**
☐ (B) At the economic activity level
☐ (C) At the company level
☐ (D) At the sector level
☐ (E) At the country/region level
☐ (F) At the global level
☐ (G) Other level(s), please specify:
☐ (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

- ☐ (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☒ (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☐ (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- ☐ (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- ☐ (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- ☐ (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- ☐ (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (H) Other method, please specify:
- ☐ (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- ☒ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☒ (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☒ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- ☒ (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☒ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- ☐ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- ☒ (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☒ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)

- ☐ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- ☐ (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☐ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- ☐ (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

- ☒ (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- ☒ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- ☐ (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- ☒ (D) Stewardship results
- ☒ (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- ☒ (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- ☐ (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity

(4) On an ad hoc basis or upon request

Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- ☐ (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- ☐ (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- ☒ **(D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report**
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- ☐ (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- ☐ (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☒ **(I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI**
- ☐ (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

(A) Board and/or trustees	(1) the entire report
(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(4) report not reviewed
(C) Investment committee	(1) the entire report

(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:

The chief level staff are part of the investment committee and the board who have reviewed the entire document

(1) the entire report

(E) Head of department, please specify:

The head of department is part of the investment committee and the board who have reviewed the entire document

(1) the entire report

(F) Compliance/risk management team

(4) report not reviewed

(G) Legal team

(4) report not reviewed

(H) RI/ ESG team

(1) the entire report

(I) Investment teams

(1) the entire report

Listed Equity (LE)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across listed equities?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets



(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets



(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets

○

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion

○

(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors

☒

(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

☒

(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon

☐

(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations

☒

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	<input type="radio"/>
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	<input type="radio"/>
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	<input type="radio"/>

ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations ☒

(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations ☒

(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process ☐

(E) We do not incorporate ESG risks into our financial modelling and equity valuations ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3.1	CORE	LE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following material ESG risks into your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4.1	CORE	LE 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following information when assessing the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors ☒

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors ☒

(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process ☐

(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below) ☐

(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☐ (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☐ (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☒ (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- ☒ (D) Other, please specify:
 We present each case to the investment committee where both portfolio managers / directors have to unanimously agree on whether the stock meets our ESG criteria or does not meet our ESG criteria and therefore is uninvestible. The result of each meeting is recorded.
- ☐ (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities

☒

(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level

☒

(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed ☐

(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency ☐

(E) We do not conduct reviews ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your listed equity assets?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions ☒

(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions ☐

(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions ☐

(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents ☐

(E) Other ☐

(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making

○

Reporting/Disclosure

Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	●	○	○	○
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	●	○	○	○
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	●	○	○	○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting

Stewardship

Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

☒ (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s):

<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-Engagement-Policy-FINAL.pdf> and
<https://www.lennoxcapitalpartners.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Lennox-ESG-Policy.pdf>

- ☐ (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available
- ☐ (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy (12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

☒ (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:

The policy details Lennox's approach to proxy voting in relation to such issues as Board Independence, Committee Memberships and Director Remuneration.

☒ (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:

The police details Lennox's approach to environmental factors such as reducing climate change risks.

☒ (C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:

The policy details Lennox's approach to social factors such as gender diversity.

☐ (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):
- (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors or on our stewardship priorities
- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- ☐ (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☐ (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- ☒ (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☐ (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- ☐ (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

- ☒ (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:
<https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/NjY1Ng==/%20#%2FNjY1Ng==%2F>
- ☐ (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
- ☐ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
- ☐ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21.1	CORE	LE 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's AGM/EGM do you publish your voting decisions?

- ☒ (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- ☐ (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- ☒ (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☐ (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- ☐ (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22.1	CORE	LE 22	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.

(A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company

(5) >95%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- ☐ (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- ☐ (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- ☒ (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory